UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,269	02/12/2004	Hubert Ringhoff	P24743	5503
	7590 12/29/200 & BERNSTEIN, P.L.0		EXAMINER	
1950 ROLAND	CLARKE PLACE		KNABLE, GEOFFREY L	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1733	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	12/29/2006	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 12/29/2006.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/776,269	RINGHOFF ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
	,					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Geoffrey L. Knable	1733 the correspondence addr	ess		
Period fe	· ·					
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA INSIGHT SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS a, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this common DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 O	October 2006.		•		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-10,12-31 and 33-40 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 35-40 is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-10,12-31,33 and 34 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance. tion is required if the drawing(s)	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. es have been received in Appl rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National St	age		
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum				
3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		fail Date mal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 1733

- 1. Claims 35-40 remain withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 24, 2006.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 12, 14-16, 18, 21-23, 31, and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishii et al. (US 5,156,713).

Ishii et al. is applied for the same reasons as set forth in the last office action. As to the new requirement in claim 1 that the belt building drum device be structured and arranged to move across the first production line (and between the other drums), as noted in the last office action (relevant to the similar requirement previously present in claims 31 and 34), the belt drum is movable across the line (K) to a transfer position. Applicant has argued that "ISHII does not disclose or suggest that the movable belt building drum device 220 is movable across the axis K" (emphasis in original) and that such movement is prevented by transfer means 224. This argument has been carefully considered but is unpersuasive. In particular, prior to movement of the drum 220 across the axis K, the transfer means is rotated 90 degrees around "M" from its position illustrated in fig. 14 to what is described as the "first position" (col. 15, lines 43-47) in which the axis of the transfer means 224 is coaxial with the axis "L". Such would therefore allow and in fact require that the drum 220 cross the axis K in order to be surrounded by the transfer means 224 so that the built belt can then be transferred to

Art Unit: 1733

the transfer means, it being stressed that the transfer means 224 is of course a hollow ring that would be adapted to surround the drum 220. In other words, in order to transfer the belt assembly, the drum 220 will move to a position such that it is surrounded by the transfer means and bisected by the axis K and in order to be bisected by axis K, *it must have crossed this axis*. Nothing in the present claims requires anything more than this. This apparatus is therefore again considered to clearly anticipate the noted claims.

- 4. Claims 17, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al. (US 5,156,713) as applied in the last office action.
- 5. Claims 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al. (US 5,156,713) as applied above, and further in view of at least one of [Riggs (US 4,304,619) and Alexander (US 4,204,903)] as applied in the last office action.
- 6. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishii et al. (US 5,156,713) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of at least one of [DE 19918523 to Continental and Habert (US 3,888,720 newly cited)]

As to claim 13, Ishii et al. only schematically discloses a single building (referred to as "coating" in the claims) position for the belt building drum. It however is well known in this art that when building a tire belt assembly, it is common to move the belt drum among several position for application of the several layers to the drum - DE 19918523 (a machine translation of the specification for this reference is included with this office action - note belt drum 14 movable between positions 16 and 17) and Habert (note esp. drum 130 in fig. 1 movable between positions A-A, B-B, C-C) are exemplary

Art Unit: 1733

of this well known building expedient. To adopt plural building positions for the belt building in which the drum moves between positions in Ishii et al. would therefore have been an obvious belt building expedient, advantages in terms of avoiding plural servicer interference by spreading the assembly among plural positions being considered to have been readily apparent.

7. Applicant's arguments filed 10-11-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive at least as regards the remaining rejections.

The previous 35 USC 112 rejections have however been withdrawn in view of applicant's response. The prior art rejections will be maintained, the arguments with respect thereto being answered within the statement of rejection above. Also, it is noted that a new ground of rejection has been applied against claim 13 and as such, this office action has not been made final.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Geoffrey L. Knable whose telephone number is 571-272-1220. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1733

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Geoffrey L. Knable Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733

G. Knable December 23, 2006